

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,667	12/03/2001	Esteban Masuda	021044-000600US	7585
20350	7590 05/01/2003			
	D AND TOWNSEND	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			GIBBS, TERRA C	
EIGHTH FLO	OOR CISCO, CA 94111-3834			
SAN FRANC	113CO, CA 94111-3834	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/998,667	MASUDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Terra C. Gibbs	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community a period for raphy specified above is less than thirty (30) of	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimun period will apply and will expire SIX (by statute, cause the application to be considered.	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U S C, § 133).				
Status	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04.44					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed						
2a)☐	,	This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)[•	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideratio	n.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the E						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
44	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	r foreign priority under 35 H	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* ;	application from the Internati See the attached detailed Office action f	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	 The translation of the foreign language. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 						
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	9-948) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her				

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The Sequence Amendment and Amendment to claims 24 and 33 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-46 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates T lymphocyte activation, *in vivo*, classifiable in class 435, subclass 375.
- II. Claims 1-23, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that modulates T lymphocyte activation, *in vitro*, classifiable in class 435, subclass 375.
- III. Claims 24-32, drawn to a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of a TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof, *in vivo*, classifiable in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- IV. Claims 24-32, drawn to a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of a TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof, *in vitro*, classifiable in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- V. Claims 33 and 34, drawn to an isolated complex comprising a TRAC1 polypeptide or fragment thereof bound to an E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme polypeptide, classifiable in class 530, subclass 300°.

Art Unit: 1635

VI. Claims 35, 36, 37 and 42, drawn to a method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of an antibody, classifiable in class 424, subclass 130.1.

VII. Claims 35 and 38, drawn to a method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of an antisense molecule, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.

VIII. Claims 35 and 29, drawn to a method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of a small organic molecule, classifiable in class 514, subclass 4.

IX. Claims 35, 40, and 41, drawn to a method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of a peptide, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.

X. Claims 43 and 44, drawn to method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 1, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.

XI. Claims 45 and 46, drawn to a method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of nucleic acid encoding a TRAC1 polypeptide, classifiable in class 514, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although the methods of Groups I and II are related because they encompass a method for identifying a compound that modulates T lymphocyte activation, they are patentably distinct

Art Unit: 1635

from each other. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to related methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Group I is conducted *in vivo* and Group II is conducted *in vitro* so that independent searches of the prior art would be required that would constitute a serious burden on the Examiner. For example, a search of the method for identifying a compound that modulates T lymphocyte activation, *in vivo* of Group I could would not encompass all of the art relevant to the method for identifying a compound that modulates T lymphocyte activation, *in vitro* of Group II, since the *in vivo* method would encompass a search for gene therapy which is not encompassed in the *in vitro* method. They are materially distinct methods which differ in method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Thus, they are patentably distinct from each other.

Although the methods of Groups II and III are related because they encompass a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof, they are patentably distinct from each other. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to related methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Group III is conducted *in vivo* and Group IV is conducted *in vitro* so that independent searches of the prior art would be required that would constitute a serious burden on the Examiner. For example, a search of the encompass a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof, *in vivo* of Group III would not encompass all of the art relevant to the

Art Unit: 1635

encompass a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof, *in vitro* of Group IV, since the *in vivo* method would encompass a search for gene therapy which is not encompassed in the *in vitro* method. They are materially distinct methods which differ in method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Thus, they are patentably distinct from each other.

Inventions of Group V and Groups III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the isolated complex comprising a TRAC1 polypeptide or fragment thereof bound to an E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme polypeptide can be used as an antibody to identify TRAC1 protein expression, which is a materially different process than a method for identifying a compound capable of interfering with binding of TRAC1 polypeptide or a fragment thereof.

Inventions of Groups VI-IX are unrelated, each from the other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the inventions of Groups VI-IX are unrelated and distinct because they are different molecules with different chemical and physical structures so that independent searches of the prior art would be required that would constitute a serious burden on the Examiner. For example, a search of the antibody of Group VI would not encompass all of the art relevant to the

Art Unit: 1635

antisense molecule of Group VII. Likewise, a search of the small organic molecule of Group VIII would not encompass all of the art relevant to the peptide of group IX. The differences between Inventions VI-IX are further underscored by their different classifications and independent search status. Thus, they are unrelated and patentably distinct from each other.

Although the methods of Groups X and XI are related because they encompass a method for modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject, they are patentably distinct from each other. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to related methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: They employ different molecules with different chemical and physical structures so that independent searches of the prior art would be required that would constitute a serious burden on the Examiner. For example, a search of the method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of a polypeptide of Group X would not encompass all of the art relevant to the method of modulating T lymphocyte activation in a subject comprising the administration of a nucleic acid encoding a TRAC1 polypeptide of Group XI. They are materially distinct methods which differ in method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. Thus, they are patentably distinct from each other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/998,667

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is (703) 306-3221. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-8693 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

tcg

April 23, 2003

RAM SHUKLA PRIMARY EXAMINER